HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 4 MAY 1969

Remimeo Dianetic Course

DIANETICS MAJOR AUDITING FAULTS

The major Dianetic auditing faults observed over a large number of recent LRH case supervised sessions are:

- 1. NOT DOING IT. Not doing it at all. Finding something but not running it out. Not continuing down the chain. Not continuing to audit what is now troubling the PC. Not finding a new chain or somatic to erase.
- 2. CROSSING DIANETICS WITH SCIENTOLOGY. These are two separate subjects. All the data of Dianetics that is used in practice is contained in the Dianetics course.
- 3. INVALIDATING WHAT THE PC SAYS IT IS. Changing what the PC says on the Health Form. Refusing to take what the PC gives as the incident because the auditor considers it not to be a Lock, Secondary, or Engram.
- 4. NOT DISCHARGING EACH INCIDENT CONTACTED ON THE CHAIN. Only doing one run through an incident then going earlier leaving restimulated incidents all over the track.
- 5. CEASING TO RUN THE CHAIN AFTER OBTAINING AN F/N ON A LOCK OR SECONDARY. Failure to distinguish between key-out and erasure.
- 6. FAILING TO USE DIANETIC ASSISTS ON PERSON WHO HAS HAD RECENT OPERATION, ACCIDENT, OR SEVERE LOSS. Failing to erase the engram chain as soon as possible after the accident. Neglecting one's fellows and not using Dianetics to help them.
- 7. FOLLOWING NARRATIVE CHAIN INSTEAD OF SOMATIC CHAIN.
- 8. ATTEMPTING TO RUN OUT A MEDICAL TERM. Running Migraine, Headache, Sciatica, etc. instead of finding out what it feels like to the PC and using that for a chain.
- 9. ASSIGNING A PC WITH PSYCHOTIC HISTORY TO A NON STANDARD AUDITOR. With such a PC you have to be very careful to go to F/N or erase and not let them be goofed up by an auditor who doesn't follow exact procedure and the Auditors Code.
- 10. FAILING TO ERASE THE CAUSE OF SOMETHING. When you fail to erase the cause of something you can expect it to come back. It may even come back at the end of the session.
- 11. ASSIGNING HUSBAND-WIFE TYPE AUDITING TEAMS. This should be avoided whenever possible as it requires a very good auditor indeed to be effective when he or she is also the husband or wife.
- 12. RUNNING ITEMS TAKEN RANDOMLY instead of doing a meter assessment, taking largest read, checking for PC interest and then running.
- 13. TAKING UP A NEW SOMATIC WHEN THE PC MENTIONS IT instead of continuing to erase the chain already started.
- 14. IMPROPER R3R PROCEDURE. Particularly doing duration step on second and subsequent runs through the same incident. See HCOB 27 April 1969.
- 15. RUNNING AN INCIDENT OR SOMATIC CHAIN PC IS NOT INTERESTED IN. Read was on protest, not on the somatic.

	na an a
16.	NOT ASKING THE PC AFTER SECOND RUN THROUGH IF IT IS GETTING More solid or erasing.
17.	OUT ADMIN. Mainly illegible reports and not stating what chain is being run or how.
18.	INVALIDATING PC ABILITY TO ERASE. Done by trying to get an earlier incident when the current incident is erasing, or by continuing to grind on with something already erased.
19.	GRINDING ON AN INCIDENT TOO LATE ON THE CHAIN.
20.	AUDITOR NOT UNDERSTANDING ERASURE. Common one is auditor thinking a picture getting more visible is erasing.
21.	AUDITING A PC WHO DOESN'T KNOW WHAT IS HAPPENING. PC doesn't understand what a session is or what Erasure, Locks, Secon- daries or Engrams are.
22.	GIVING ALTERED TRAINING OR EVALUATIVE EXAMINATION. Messing up the material being taught or the standard so he fails to learn the exact simplicity of Dianetics in the first place.

The main fault of Dianetics has been NOT DOING IT. Standard Dianetics fully applied produced miraculous results.

LRH:bl.cs.ei Copyright (c) 1969 by L. Ron Hubbard ALL RIGHTS RESERVED W/O Brian Livingston CS-5 for L. RON HUBBARD Founder